CPYRGHT



CPYRGHT

Patman Says C.I.A. Gave Money To a Foundation in 'Secret' Pact

Texan Declares Kaplan Fund Acted as Sub Rosa 'Conduit' for Intelligence Agency

By United Press International . . WASHINGTON, Aug. 31 -

tepresentative wright Patman disclosed today that the Central Intelligence Agency had given money to a private foundation that, he said, had served as a "secret condut" for the agency.

The Texas Democrat quoted an unidentified official of the agency as having said that the intelligence agency had had and "arrangement" with the J. M. Kaplan Fund of New York City from 1959 until some time this year.

The Internal Revenue Service, which is investigating the foundation's tax-exempt status, confirmed that the intelligence agency of the agency had given financial support to the Kaplan Fund. But ments to the fund because he in the Kaplan Fund. But he groups.

Mr. Patman did not elaborate no comment. on his statement that the agency had used the fund as a Small Business subcommittee existing foundations, or occasionally creates its own, as vehicles for the gathering of intelligence. intelligence.

The Congressman said he was



Wright Patman

a spokesman for the service with in connection with the ment" among the agency, the with in connection with the ment" among the agency, the service and the fund.

Tangement between the two transitions of the agency official told

Mr. Patman's disclosure at a choice of words.' "secret conduit." It is known, was the source of some con-however, that the agency uses the source of some con-

agency's involvement with the Kaplan Fund was a secret shared by only a few persons in official Washington.

Mitchell Rogovin, assistant to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, said that until Aug. 10, the date of the last Patman subcommittee hearing on the subject, he was the only present employe of the service who knew of the C.I.A.-Kaplan relationship.

Even the New York District office of the Revenue Service, which is conducting the Kaplan investigation, was not aware of the relationship, Mr. Rogovin said.

The Revenue Service has been examining the Kaplan Fund for several years to determine whether it should be permitted to retain its tax-exempt status. The fund has contributed money to a number of chari-table and educational projects, particularly in the New York

City area.

Mr. Patman's subcommittee has been reviewing the tax-exempt status of certain foundations to see whether some of them are taking advantage of

Mr. Rogovin told Mr. Patman today he had informed the in-

If the agency official told tradition of strict secrecy, had Mr. Patman otherwise, said no comment. Mr. Rogovin, "it was a poor

> -What seemed to bother Mr. Patman was whether the agency knew the Kaplan Fund was under the scrutiny of the Revenue Service.

He explained today that he had not planned to make public Apparently, the intelligence a matter touching on "foreign affairs" but indicated he had been irked by his difficulty in

getting all the information he wanted about the case.

He said he had asked his informant in the intelligence agency to tell him the months the agency had given money to the foundation, and which persons in the agency had selected the fund.

The agency did not provide the answers, Mc. Patman said. He then decided, he went on. that to break a confidence and make the issue public was the only way he could get meaningful information.

"I feel I have been trifled with," he said.

Mr. Rogovin and the acting commissioner of the Revenue Service, Bertrand M. Harding, agreed to discuss confidential aspects of the case privately with Mr. Patman.

Later in the day, Mr. Rogovin and Mr. Harding met privately with Mr. Patnian and representatives of the intelligence agency. Afterwards, Mr. Patman s ,b)e was convinced that "no matter of interest to the subcommittee relating to the CIA existed."

Mr. Patman charged last Aug. 10 that Mr. Kaplan had used the foundation to further his business empire. He identified the financier as former president of the Weich Grape Juice Company and of South-western Sugar and Molasses

Mr. Kaplan, he said, "is well known in the so-called 'take' over' business."
"He has waged a number of

battles in this field," Mr. Pat-man continued. "In some of these contests, he has made use of charitable funds set up and dominated by him.'

The Revenue Service does not discuss publicly any tax case it is investigating. But Mr. Patman asked the service today to supply the addresses and taxexempt history of eight founda-tions he said had contributed

Continued